

Holography in the Penrose limit of AdS space¹

George Siopsis²

Department of Physics and Astronomy,
The University of Tennessee, Knoxville,
TN 37996 - 1200, USA.

Abstract

We discuss the Penrose limit of pure AdS space, which is flat Minkowski space. Even though there is no holographic principle, we construct a “holographic screen” on which information on the corresponding CFT is encoded. The screen is obtained as a gauge-fixing condition upon restricting the Hilbert space to the states that are annihilated by the generator of scale transformations. This constraint leads to Dirac brackets which turn the Poincaré algebra into the algebra of the conformal group on the “holographic screen.”

¹Research supported by the DoE under grant DE-FG05-91ER40627.

²gsiopsis@utk.edu

The plane-wave limit of spacetime suggested by Penrose [1] a while ago and its supersymmetric generalization [2] has recently attracted a lot of attention in conjunction with the AdS/CFT correspondence [3–22]. This limit leads to a more direct understanding of the AdS/CFT correspondence because the background is simple enough for the sigma model (string theory) to be exactly solvable [3–15]. Other exactly solvable models studied earlier can be shown to be special cases of the Penrose limit [23–27].

On the other hand, the issue of holography is blurred in this limit, because the background is a plane wave or even flat Minkowski space on which no holographic principle exists. This is in contrast to the well-understood holography in the original AdS space³ whose limit we are taking. Since the AdS/CFT correspondence seems to have survived the limit, one is tempted to conjecture the existence of a holographic screen on which the CFT resides. A number of proposals have been put forth [29–31], but the issue remains open [32].

In this short note, we consider the simplest case of AdS_{d+1} space whose Penrose limit is flat Minkowski space $\mathcal{M}_{d+1}$. This may be viewed as a special case of the Penrose limit of $\text{AdS}_{d+1} \times S^q$ in which we boost along a geodesic with vanishing spin in the sphere S^q . One may define string theory on this Minkowski space and study the CFT correspondence in a standard fashion. Since no holographic principle applies to Minkowski space, it is evident that an additional condition is needed for some kind of holography to emerge. We introduce such a condition by restricting the Hilbert space to the states that are scale invariant. This is a constraint leading to the treatment of scale transformations as gauge transformations. In the resulting theory, one needs to fix the gauge. This can be done by restricting to a d -dimensional hypersurface of the Minkowski space $\mathcal{M}_{d+1}$. This hypersurface is arbitrary as long as it cuts all gauge orbits exactly once and all such choices are gauge equivalent. We consider explicitly a hyperboloid (dS_d space⁴) and show that the Poincaré algebra of Minkowski space turns into a $SO(d, 2)$ algebra once the Poisson brackets are replaced by Dirac brackets. In the flat-space limit of the hyperboloid, the $SO(d, 2)$ group turns into the conformal group of the resulting d -dimensional Minkowski space $\mathcal{M}_d$. This is then the “holographic screen” on which information on the corresponding CFT resides.

We start by reviewing the salient features of AdS space and the CFT correspondence. A

³For a review, see [28].

⁴Supersymmetry is broken on dS_d , but it gets restored in the flat space limit.

$(d+1)$ -dimensional AdS space (AdS_{d+1}) is defined within a flat $(d+2)$ -dimensional space as the hypersurface

$$X_0^2 - X_1^2 - \dots - X_d^2 + X_{d+1}^2 = R^2 \quad (1)$$

There are different choices of coordinate systems on the AdS hypersurface, all of which should lead to the same physical results. However, one needs to be careful, especially when taking the Penrose limit. The most convenient choice are the Poincaré coordinates which, however, only cover half of AdS. The AdS_{d+1} metric in Poincaré coordinates is

$$ds^2 = R^2 \frac{dr^2}{r^2} + \frac{r^2}{R^2} (-dt^2 + d\vec{x}^2) \quad (2)$$

where $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^{d-1}$. It is also useful to introduce the invariant distance between points $X^{\mathbf{A}}$ and $X'^{\mathbf{A}}$ ($\mathbf{A} = 0, 1, \dots, d+1$) on the AdS hypersurface,

$$P(X, X') = \frac{1}{R^2} (X' - X)^2 = \frac{(r - r')^2}{rr'} + \frac{rr'}{R^4} \left(-(t - t')^2 + (\vec{x} - \vec{x}')^2 \right) \quad (3)$$

In what follows, we shall use bold capitals as indices that take on $d+2$ values $(0, 1, \dots, d+1)$, plain capitals will run $M, N, \dots = 0, 1, \dots, d$ and Greek indices will span a d -dimensional space $(\mu, \nu, \dots = 0, 1, \dots, d-1)$. The group of isometries is $SO(d, 2)$ whose generators we shall denote by $J_{\mathbf{AB}}$. The quadratic Casimir is

$$C_2 = \frac{1}{2} J^{\mathbf{AB}} J_{\mathbf{AB}} \quad (4)$$

For definiteness, we shall concentrate on scalar fields. The treatment of fields of higher spin is similar. The scalar wave equation is

$$\frac{1}{r^{d-1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial r} \left(r^{d+1} \frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial r} \right) - \partial_t^2 \Psi + \vec{\nabla}^2 \Psi = m^2 R^2 \Psi \quad (5)$$

The inner product in the space of solutions is given by

$$(\Psi_1, \Psi_2) = i\pi R^4 \int_{\Sigma} d^{d-1}x \, dr \, r^{d-3} (\Psi_1^* \partial_t \Psi_2 - \partial_t \Psi_1^* \Psi_2) \quad (6)$$

where Σ is the spacelike slice $t = \text{const.}$. Assuming the wavefunction is a plane wave in the space spanned by $x^\mu = (t, \vec{x})$,

$$\Psi_k(r, x^\mu) = e^{ik_\mu x^\mu} \Phi_q(r) \quad (7)$$

where $q^2 = -k_\mu k^\mu$, we obtain the solution to the wave equation in terms of Bessel functions

$$\Phi_q^\pm(r) = r^{-d/2} J_{\pm\nu}(qR^2/r) \quad , \quad \nu = \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{d^2 + 4m^2R^2} \quad (8)$$

The inner product of two wavefunctions is

$$(\Psi_k^\pm, \Psi_{k'}^\pm) = (2\pi)^d \delta^{d-1}(\vec{k} - \vec{k}') \frac{k_0 + k'_0}{2} R^4 \int_0^\infty dr r^{d-3} \Phi_q^{\pm*}(r) \Phi_{q'}^\pm(r) \quad (9)$$

where $\vec{k} = (k_1, \dots, k_{d-1})$ and similarly for $\vec{k}'$. At the boundary ($r \rightarrow \infty$), the two solutions behave as

$$\Phi_q^\pm \sim r^{-h_\pm} \quad , \quad h_\pm = \frac{d}{2} \pm \nu \quad (10)$$

For $m^2 > 0$, the solution Φ_q^- is not normalizable, so it is discarded. The normalizable modes form an orthonormal set with respect to the inner product (6),

$$(\Psi_k^+, \Psi_{k'}^+) = (2\pi)^d \delta^d(k - k') \quad (11)$$

where we used the orthogonality property of Bessel functions,

$$\int_0^\infty \frac{dr}{r^3} J_\nu(qR^2/r) J_\nu(q'R^2/r) = \frac{1}{qR^4} \delta(q - q') \quad (12)$$

Next, we introduce the propagator

$$G(r, x^\mu; r', x'^\mu) = \int \frac{d^d k}{(2\pi)^d} \Psi_k^{+*}(r, x^\mu) \Psi_k^+(r', x'^\mu) \quad (13)$$

which obeys the wave equation (5).⁵ After some algebra involving Bessel and Hypergeometric function identities, we arrive at

$$G(z, x^\mu; z', x'^\mu) = \frac{\Gamma(h_+)}{2\pi^{d/2}\Gamma(\nu+1)} P^{-h_+} F(h_+, \nu + \frac{1}{2}; 2\nu + 1; -4/P) \quad (14)$$

where the invariant distance P is given by (3). The singularity is obtained by letting $P \rightarrow 0$,

$$G(z, x^\mu; z', x'^\mu) \sim \frac{\Gamma((d-1)/2)}{4\pi^{(d+1)/2}} P_\epsilon^{-(d-1)/2} \quad (15)$$

⁵We replaced the integration variable q with k_0 in order to arrive at a more convenient expression for the measure in (13).

where P_ϵ includes the $i\epsilon$ prescription $x^0 - x'^0 \rightarrow x^0 - x'^0 - i\epsilon$. The bulk-to-boundary propagator is obtained by letting one of the arguments approach the boundary. In the limit $r' \rightarrow 0$, we have $G(r, x^\mu; r', x'^\mu) \sim P^{-h_+}$, so

$$G(r, x^\mu; r' x'^\mu) \rightarrow \frac{1}{2\nu} r'^{-h_+} K(r, x^\mu; x'^\mu) \quad (16)$$

where

$$K(r, x^\mu; x'^\mu) = \pi^{-d/2} \frac{\Gamma(h_+)}{\Gamma(\nu)} r^{h_+} \left(1 + \frac{r^2}{R^4} (x - x')^\mu (x - x')_\mu \right)^{-h_+} \quad (17)$$

In the limit $r \rightarrow \infty$, this leads to a propagator of the form

$$\Delta(x) \sim (x^\mu x_\mu)^{-h_+} \quad (18)$$

which is the two-point function (up to a constant) of the corresponding conformal field theory.

Next, we wish to study the Penrose limit of the theory. To this end, we shall boost along a null geodesic. All choices are equivalent the most convenient being the radial direction. A radial null geodesic is given by ($ds^2 = 0$)

$$R^2 \frac{\dot{r}^2}{r^2} - \frac{r^2}{R^2} \dot{t}^2 = 0 \quad (19)$$

where we differentiate with respect to the affine parameter τ . Conservation of energy (independence of the metric on t) implies

$$\frac{r^2}{R^2} \dot{t} = E \quad (20)$$

where E is a constant of the motion. By rescaling τ , we may set $E = 1$. Then the equation for the geodesic (19) becomes

$$\dot{r}^2 = 1 \quad (21)$$

so $r = -\tau$ may be chosen as the affine parameter. Along the geodesic, we may choose

$$t = \frac{R^2}{r} \quad (22)$$

which is the solution of eq. (20). Next we shift the coordinate t by its value on the geodesic,

$$t = \frac{R^2}{r} - \tilde{t} \quad (23)$$

In terms of the new coordinate $\tilde{t}$, the AdS metric (2) reads

$$ds^2 = -2drd\tilde{t} - \frac{r^2}{R^2} d\tilde{t}^2 + \frac{r^2}{R^2} d\vec{x}^2 \quad (24)$$

In the Penrose limit, this becomes

$$ds_P^2 = -2drd\tilde{t} + \frac{r^2}{R^2} d\vec{x}^2 \quad (25)$$

This is flat Minkowski space. To see this, change variables to

$$\vec{y} = \frac{r}{R} \vec{x} \quad , \quad v = \tilde{t} + \frac{r}{2R^2} \vec{x}^2 \quad (26)$$

The metric becomes

$$ds_P^2 = -2drdv + d\vec{y}^2 \quad (27)$$

which may be brought into the standard form

$$ds_P^2 = dY^M dY_M = -(dY^0)^2 + dY^i dY^i \quad (28)$$

where $Y^i = y^i$ ($i = 1, \dots, d-1$), $Y^0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(r+v)$ and $Y^d = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(r-v)$. It is easily seen that the invariant distance (3) turns into the Minkowski space distance in the Penrose limit,

$$P(X, X') \rightarrow \frac{1}{R^2} (Y - Y')^M (Y - Y')_M \quad (29)$$

Since $R \rightarrow \infty$ in this limit, the only contribution to the Green function (14) that survives is the small distance singularity (15),

$$G(P) \sim P^{-(d-1)/2} \quad (30)$$

Consequently, the boundary scaling behavior (17), which is obtained from the large P limit, is not present in the Minkowski space [33]. The group of isometries $SO(d, 2)$ is mapped onto the Poincaré group,

$$M_{MN} = J_{MN} \quad , \quad P_M = \frac{J_{M(d+1)}}{R} \quad (M, N = 0, 1, \dots, d) \quad (31)$$

Indeed, it is readily deduced from the $SO(d, 2)$ algebra,

$$\begin{aligned} [M_{MN} , M_{PQ}] &= -i\eta_{MP}M_{NQ} + \dots \\ [M_{MN} , P_P] &= -i(\eta_{MP}P_N - \eta_{NP}P_M) \\ [P_M , P_N] &= 0 \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

as $R \rightarrow \infty$. The quadratic Casimir (4) becomes

$$C_2 \rightarrow R^2 P_M P^M = -m^2 R^2 \quad (33)$$

Therefore, its value does not change. Since the parameter $m^2 R^2$ is still present in the Penrose limit, one might wonder whether the scaling dimensions $h_{\pm}$ (10), which are solely functions of $m^2 R^2$, have survived. At first glance, it appears that no conclusion on scaling dimensions may be drawn from the Casimir C_2 , for its value comes from the abelian part of the Poincaré algebra (32). On the other hand, one may define and solve a sigma model (string theory) on the flat Minkowski space and recover the scaling dimensions from CFT correlators. Thus, even though holography is absent on Minkowski space, information on the CFT on the boundary of AdS space has not been lost. It is natural to look for a “holographic screen” on which this information may reside.

To this end, let us concentrate on the operators which are scale invariant. Correspondingly, we restrict attention to the subspace of states which are annihilated by the generator of scale transformations,

$$\Delta|\Psi\rangle = 0 \quad , \quad \Delta = Y^M P_M \quad (34)$$

Once this is imposed as a second-class constraint, scale transformations become gauge transformations. Then we must fix the gauge in the resulting theory. This is accomplished by restricting our space to a d -dimensional hypersurface. A convenient choice (gauge-fixing condition) is

$$Y^M Y_M = R^2 \quad (35)$$

which is d -dimensional de Sitter space (dS_d). The Poisson brackets then turn into Dirac brackets,

$$\{\mathcal{A} , \mathcal{B}\}_D = \{\mathcal{A} , \mathcal{B}\}_P - \frac{1}{2R^2} \left(\{\mathcal{A} , \chi_1\}_P \{\chi_2 , \mathcal{B}\}_P - \{\mathcal{A} , \chi_2\}_P \{\chi_1 , \mathcal{B}\}_P \right) \quad (36)$$

where $\chi_1 = \Delta$, $\chi_2 = Y^M Y_M$, and we used $\{\chi_1 , \chi_2\}_P = 2R^2$.

The Poincaré algebra (32) is modified as follows. The Lorentz group generators M_{MN} commute with the constraints, so their algebra does not change. By the same token, nor do the commutators of a Lorentz group generator and a momentum P_M . The Dirac bracket of two momentum components is

$$\{P_M , P_N\}_D = \frac{M_{MN}}{R^2} \quad (37)$$

Therefore, their abelian algebra is modified,

$$[P_M, P_N] = -i \frac{M_{MN}}{R^2} \quad (38)$$

This shows that RP_M can be identified with the $SO(d, 2)$ generator $J_{M(d+1)}$ and the modified algebra is $SO(d, 2)$. In the flat space limit ($R \rightarrow \infty$, around, e.g., the Y^d axis), the dS_d space becomes d -dimensional Minkowski space. To see what becomes of the $SO(d, 2)$ algebra in this limit, let us parametrize the dS hypersurface using global coordinates $(\tau, \vec{y})$, where $\vec{y} = (y_1, \dots, y_{d-1})$, as

$$Y^0 = R \sinh \tau, \quad Y^i = R \cosh \tau y_i, \quad Y^d = R \cosh \tau \sqrt{1 - \vec{y}^2} \quad (39)$$

The dS metric reads

$$ds_{dS}^2 = -R^2 d\tau^2 + R^2 \cosh^2 \tau \left(d\vec{y}^2 + \frac{(\vec{y} \cdot d\vec{y})^2}{1 - \vec{y}^2} \right) \quad (40)$$

The $SO(d, 2)$ generators may also be expressed in terms of the dS coordinates. A short calculation yields

$$\begin{aligned} RP_0 &= \cosh \tau p_\tau, \quad RP_i = -\sinh \tau y_i p_\tau + \frac{(\delta_{ij} - y_i y_j)}{\cosh \tau} p_j \\ RP_d &= -\sqrt{1 - \vec{y}^2} \left(\sinh \tau p_\tau - \frac{y_j}{\cosh \tau} p_j \right) \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

$$\begin{aligned} M_{0i} &= -y_i (p_\tau - \tanh \tau y_j p_j) - \tanh \tau p_i, \quad M_{ij} = y_i p_j - y_j p_i \\ M_{0d} &= -\sqrt{1 - \vec{y}^2} (p_\tau + \tanh \tau y_j p_j), \quad M_{id} = -\sqrt{1 - \vec{y}^2} p_i \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

where $i, j = 1, \dots, d-1$ and we have defined the conjugate momenta

$$p_\tau = i \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau}, \quad p_i = -i \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} \quad (43)$$

By scaling

$$\tau \rightarrow \tau/R, \quad y_i \rightarrow y_i/R \quad (44)$$

in the limit $R \rightarrow \infty$, the metric (40) becomes

$$ds_{R \rightarrow \infty}^2 = dy_\mu dy^\mu = -(dy^0)^2 + d\vec{y}^2 \quad (45)$$

where $y^0 = \tau$, showing explicitly that the dS hypersurface has turned into flat d -dimensional Minkowski space spanned by coordinates $y^\mu = (y^0, \dots, y^{d-1})$. Ignoring higher-order terms in each of the $SO(d, 2)$ generators, as $R \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$P_0 = \left(1 + \frac{\tau^2}{2R^2}\right) p_\tau \quad , \quad P_i = -\frac{\tau y_i}{R^2} p_\tau + \left(1 - \frac{\tau^2}{2R^2}\right) p_i - \frac{y_i y_j}{R^2} p_j$$

$$RP_d = y^\mu p_\mu \quad (46)$$

$$M_{\mu\nu} = y_\mu p_\nu - y_\nu p_\mu$$

$$\frac{M_{0d}}{R} = -\left(1 - \frac{\bar{y}^2}{2R^2}\right) p_\tau + \frac{\tau y_j}{R^2} p_j \quad , \quad \frac{M_{id}}{R} = -\left(1 - \frac{\bar{y}^2}{2R^2}\right) p_i \quad (47)$$

The $SO(d, 2)$ group is then the conformal group on the d -dimensional Minkowski space in the $R \rightarrow \infty$ limit through the identifications

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mu\nu} = M_{\mu\nu} \quad , \quad \mathcal{K}_\mu = 2R^2 \left(P_\mu + \frac{M_{\mu d}}{R}\right) \quad , \quad \mathcal{P}_\mu = \frac{1}{2} \left(P_\mu - \frac{M_{\mu d}}{R}\right) \quad , \quad \mathcal{D} = RP_d \quad (48)$$

Explicitly,

$$\mathcal{M}_{\mu\nu} = y_\mu p_\nu - y_\nu p_\mu \quad , \quad \mathcal{P}_\mu = p_\mu \quad , \quad \mathcal{D} = y^\mu p_\mu \quad , \quad \mathcal{K}_\mu = y^2 p_\mu - 2y_\mu y^\nu p_\nu \quad (49)$$

where $p_\mu = i\partial/\partial y^\mu$ (eq. (43)). A scalar operator of conformal dimension h satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathcal{M}_{\mu\nu} , \mathcal{A}(y)] &= i(y_\mu \partial_\nu - y_\nu \partial_\mu) \mathcal{A}(y) \\ [\mathcal{P}_\mu , \mathcal{A}(y)] &= i\partial_\mu \mathcal{A}(y) \\ [\mathcal{D} , \mathcal{A}(y)] &= i(y^\mu \partial_\mu - h) \mathcal{A}(y) \\ [\mathcal{K}_\mu , \mathcal{A}(y)] &= i(y^2 \partial_\mu - 2y_\mu y^\nu \partial_\nu + 2y_\mu h) \mathcal{A}(y) \end{aligned} \quad (50)$$

where $\partial_\mu = \partial/\partial y^\mu$. The quadratic Casimir (4) is

$$C_2 = \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{M}_{\mu\nu} \mathcal{M}^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} (\mathcal{K}_\mu \mathcal{P}^\mu + \mathcal{P}_\mu \mathcal{K}^\mu) - \mathcal{D}^2 = -h(d - h) \quad (51)$$

Setting it equal to $-m^2 R^2$, we obtain the conformal weights

$$h = h_\pm = \frac{1}{2} (d \pm \sqrt{d^2 + 4m^2 R^2}) \quad (52)$$

in agreement with the AdS result (10). Unitarity selects h_+ as the only possible weight. The conformal group also determines all correlators of the primary field $\mathcal{A}$ up to constants. In particular, the two-point function is

$$\langle \mathcal{A}(y) \mathcal{A}(y') \rangle \sim ((y - y')^2)^{-h_+} \quad (53)$$

in agreement with the holographic result (18) from AdS space. Thus, we have recovered a conformal field theory on the d -dimensional Minkowski space which was obtained as the flat-space limit of a dS_d hypersurface in the original $(d + 1)$ -dimensional Minkowski space. The restriction on dS_d induced the replacement of Poisson brackets by Dirac brackets. Consequently, the $(d + 1)$ -dimensional Poincaré algebra turned into a $SO(d, 2)$ algebra which was identified with the conformal group on the d -dimensional Minkowski space. It should be emphasized that this “holographic screen” is merely a gauge choice and therefore arbitrary.

It would be interesting to include spin and extend the above results to the Penrose limit of $AdS \times S$ which is a pp-wave. This will be reported elsewhere.

Acknowledgements

I wish to thank J. T. Liu and A. C. Petkou for discussions.

References

- [1] R. Penrose, in *Differential geometry and relativity*, pp 217, Reidel, Dordrecht (1976).
- [2] R. Güven, Phys. Lett. **B482** (2000) 255, [hep-th/0005061](#).
- [3] R. R. Metsaev, Nucl. Phys. **B625** (2002) 70, [hep-th/0112044](#).
- [4] N. Itzhaki, I. R. Klebanov and S. Mukhi, JHEP **0203** (2002) 048, [hep-th/0202153](#).
- [5] J. Gomis and H. Ooguri, [hep-th/0202157](#).
- [6] L. A. Zayas and J. Sonnenschein, JHEP **0205** (2002) 010, [hep-th/0202186](#).
- [7] M. Alishahiha and M. M. Sheikh-Jabbari, [hep-th/0203018](#).
- [8] N. Kim, A. Pankiewicz, S.-J. Rey and S. Theisen, [hep-th/0203080](#).
- [9] T. Takayanagi and S. Terashima, [hep-th/0203093](#).
- [10] C. S. Chu and P. M. Ho, [hep-th/0203186](#).
- [11] U. Gursoy, C. Nunez and M. Schvellinger, [hep-th/0203124](#).
- [12] E. Floratos and A. Kehagias, [hep-th/0203134](#).
- [13] M. Hatsuda, K. Kamimura and M. Sakaguchi, [hep-th/0202190](#).
- [14] M. Cvetič, H. Lu and C. N. Pope, [hep-th/0203082](#).
- [15] M. Cvetič, H. Lu and C. N. Pope, [hep-th/0203229](#).
- [16] R. R. Metsaev and A. A. Tseytlin, [hep-th/0202109](#).
- [17] J. G. Russo and A. A. Tseytlin, JHEP **0204** (2002) 021, [hep-th/0202179](#).
- [18] D. Berenstein, J. Maldacena and H. Nastase, JHEP **0204** (2002) 013, [hep-th/0202021](#).
- [19] J. Figueroa-O'Farrill and G. Papadopoulos, JHEP **0108** (2001) 036, [hep-th/0105308](#).

- [20] M. Blau, J. Figueroa-O’Farrill, C. Hull and G. Papadopoulos, Class. Quant. Grav. **19** (2002) L87, [hep-th/0201081](#).
- [21] M. Blau, J. Figueroa-O’Farrill, C. Hull and G. Papadopoulos, JHEP **0201** (2002) 047, [hep-th/0110242](#).
- [22] D. Berenstein, E. Gava, J. Maldacena, K. S. Narain and H. Nastase, [hep-th/0203249](#).
- [23] C. R. Nappi and E. Witten, Phys. Rev. Lett. **71** (1993) 3751, [hep-th/9310112](#).
- [24] K. Sfetsos, Phys. Lett. **B324** (1994) 335, [hep-th/9311010](#).
- [25] D. Olive, E. Rabinovici and A. Schwimmer, Phys. Lett. **B321** (1994) 361, [hep-th/9311081](#).
- [26] K. Sfetsos and A. Tseytlin, Nucl. Phys. **B427** (1994) 245, [hep-th/9404063](#).
- [27] A. Tseytlin, [hep-th/9407099](#).
- [28] O. Aharony, S. S. Gubser, J. Maldacena, H. Ooguri and Y. Oz, Phys. Rept. **323** (2000) 183, [hep-th/9905111](#).
- [29] S. R. Das, C. Gomez and S. J. Rey, [hep-th/0203164](#).
- [30] E. Kiritsis and B. Pioline, [hep-th/0204004](#).
- [31] R. G. Leigh, K. Okuyama and M. Rozali, [hep-th/0204026](#).
- [32] D. Berenstein and H. Nastase, [hep-th/0205048](#).
- [33] S. B. Giddings, Phys. Rev. **D61** (2000) 106008, [hep-th/9907129](#).